



Stone Lodge School

**Stone Lodge Therapeutic School
Exams Department
Complaints Policy**

Approved by:	Ollie Sharp	Date: 10/12/24
Last reviewed on:	05/12/2024	Reviewed by: S Hilton
Next review due by:	Dec 25	

Purpose of the policy

This policy contains Stone Lodge Therapeutic School's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (sections 5.3 and 5.8) in drawing attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or the administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds of the below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessments
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted in accordance with JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not being given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via Shirley Hilton, the Exams Officer and to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*

Access Arrangements and Special Consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of the exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of the exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or an impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via Shirley Hilton, The Exams Officer and to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam/timetable regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations

- Online system failed during (on screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by an awarding body

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidates not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for a return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via Shirley Hilton, The Exams Officer and to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Shirley Hilton, The Exams Officer and to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern about or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, Stone Lodge Therapeutic School encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. A concern or complaint should be made in person, by telephone or in writing to the head of centre.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A formal complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a **complaint form**
- Forms are available from the school office
- Completed forms should be returned to the Exams Officer
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 3 calendar days

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 4 working weeks
- Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted
- Any appeal must be submitted by following the centre's internal appeals procedure and completing an **internal appeals form**
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 3 calendar days
- The appeal will be referred to the Chair of Governors (or a special Committee of the Governing body) for consideration
- The Chair of Governors (or Committee) who as detailed in the centre's internal appeals procedure will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in accordance with the internal appeals procedure

Complaints form

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY
Date received
Reference No.

Please tick the box to indicate the nature of your complaint

Complaint against the centre's delivery of a qualification

Complaint against the centre's administration of a qualification

Name of complainant	
Candidate name (if different to complainant)	
Please state the grounds for your complaint below:	
If your grounds are lengthy, please put as bullet points; keep to the point and include relevant detail such as dates, names etc. and provide any evidence to support what you have to say	
If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed	
Detail any steps you have already taken to resolve the issue(s) and what you would consider to be a good resolution to the issues(s)	
Complainant signature	Date of signature

This form must be completed in full – an incomplete form will be returned to the complainant

